



PHILIPPINE
PORTS
AUTHORITY



16 March 2026

MS. MARIA DIONESIA A. RIVERA-GUILLERMO

Officer-In-Charge

Government Procurement Policy Board

Technical Support Office

Unit 2504 Raffles Corporate Center

F. Ortigas Jr. Road, Ortigas Center, Pasig City

Dear **Ms. Rivera-Guillermo**:

In compliance with Government Procurement Policy Board (GPPB) Circular dated May 20, 2020, we are respectfully submitting the attached Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) of PPA PMO Mindoro for FY 2025.

For your information and reference.

Sincerely,


JON GABRIELL M. MANANSALA
Port Manager/HoPE

Encl. : A/S

BAC
LAR/cec/jejc

PORT MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF MINDORO

PPA Administrative Building, Port Area
San Antonio, Calapan City 5200 Philippines
Tel. No.: (043) 288-6843 / (043) 441-6671 / (043) 738 9022
email: pmomindoro@ppa.com.ph / www.ppa.com.ph



PHILIPPINE
PORTS
AUTHORITY



POSTING CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) of PPA PMO Mindoro for FY 2025 of Philippine Ports Authority PMO Mindoro have been e-mailed and posted at Government Procurement Policy Board (GPPB) and PPA Website.

This certification is being issued in compliance with GPPB Circular No. 02-2020, this 17th day of March 2026.

Cecilia
CRISTINA E. CARVAJAL
Secretariat, Head *CS*

PORT MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF MINDORO

PPA Administrative Building, Port Area
San Antonio, Calapan City 5200, Oriental Mindoro, Philippines
Tel. No.: (043) 288-1843 / (043) 441-6671 Fax No.: (043) 441-6053
email: pmomindoro@ppa.com.ph / www.ppa.com.ph

ANNEX B
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
CONSOLIDATED PROCUREMENT MONITORING REPORT

Name of Agency: Philippine Ports Authority - PMO Mindoro


Period Covered: CY 2024

	Total Amount of Approved APP	Total Number of Procurement Activities	No. of Contracts Awarded	Total Amount of Contracts Awarded	No. of Failed Biddings	Total No. of Entities who Acquired Bid Docs	Total No. of Bidders who Submitted Bids	Total No. of Bidders who passed Eligibility Stage	No. of Bid Opportunities Posted at PhilGEPS	No. of Contract Award Posted at PhilGEPS	Total No. Of Contracts that incurred negative slippage	Total No. of contracts with amendments to order or variation orders	No. of Contracts Awarded within prescribed timeframes
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8	Column 9	Column 10	Column 11	Column 12	Column 13	Column 14
1. Public Bidding*													
1.1. Goods	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.2. Works													
1.3. Consulting Services													
Sub-Total	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Alternative Modes													
2.1.1 Shopping (52.1 a above 50K)													
2.1.2 Shopping (52.1 b above 50K)	4,004,460.60	68	68	3,369,563.88					68	68			
2.1.3 Other Shopping													
2.2.1 Direct Contracting (above 50K)													
2.2.2 Direct Contracting (50K or less)													
2.3.1 Repeat Order (above 50K)													
2.3.2 Repeat Order (50K or less)													
2.4. Limited Source Bidding													
2.5.1 Negotiation (Common-Use Supplies)													
2.5.2 Negotiation (Recognized Government Printers)													
2.5.3 Negotiation (TFB 53.1)													
2.5.4 Negotiation (SVP 53.9 above 50K)	26,686,150.70	32	32	26,529,776.18					32	32			
2.5.5 Other Negotiated Procurement (Others above 50K)													
2.5.6 Other Negotiated Procurement (50K or less)	3,425,570.00	247	247	2,674,603.65						347			
Sub-Total	34,116,181.30	347	347	32,573,943.71					100	447			
3. Foreign Funded Procurement**													
3.1. Publicly-Bid													
3.2. Alternative Modes													
Sub-Total	0.00	0	0	0.00									
4. Others, specify:													
TOTAL	34,116,181.30	347	347	32,573,943.71									

* Should include foreign-funded publicly-bid projects per procurement type

** All procurement using Foreign Funds excluding National Competitive Bidding (NCB) contracts; conversion to peso will be at BSP rates at the time the bids/quotations were submitted


JOEY EMMANUEL J. CUENTO
Member BAC Secretariat


CRISTINA E. CARVAJAL
Head BAC Secretariat


LEO A. ROMERO
BAC Chairperson


JON GABRIELL M. MANANSALA
Port Manager / HOPE

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: Philippine Ports Authority - PMO Mindoro
Date of Self Assessment: January 31, 2024

Name of Evaluator: Cristina E. Carvajal
Position: Head BAC Secretariat

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and Subindicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation)
PILLAR I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK					
Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procurement					
1.a	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	0.00		PMRs
1.b	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	0.00%	0.00		PMRs
Indicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement					
2.a	Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	10.34%	0.00		PMRs
2.b	Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	89.66%	0.00		PMRs
2.c	Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
2.d	Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	0.00%	3.00		PMRs
2.e	Compliance with Repeat Order procedures	n/a	n/a	No Repeat Order Procurement	Procurement documents relative to conduct of Repeat Order
2.f	Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures	n/a	n/a	No LSB Procurement	Procurement documents relative to conduct of Limited Source Bidding
Indicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process					
3.a	Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	n/a	n/a		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
3.b	Average number of bidders who submitted bids	n/a	n/a		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
3.c	Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	n/a	n/a		Abstract of Bids or other agency records
3.d	Sufficiency of period to prepare bids	n/a	n/a		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
3.e	Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements	Fully Compliant	3.00		Cost Benefit Analysis, Work Plans, Technical Specifications included in bidding documents
		Average I	1.29		
PILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY					
Indicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations					
4.a	Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
4.b	Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order creating BAC Secretariat; Organizational Chart; and Certification of Training
Indicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation					
5.a	An approved APP that includes all types of procurement	Compliant	3.00		Copy of APP and its supplements (if any)
5.b	Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service	Fully Compliant	3.00		APP, APP-CSE, PMR
5.c	Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted	Compliant	3.00		ITBs and/or RFQs clearly indicate the use of green technical specifications for the procurement activity
Indicator 6. Use of Government Electronic Procurement System					
6.a	Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	100.00%	3.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
6.b	Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	n/a	n/a		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records
6.c	Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	0.00%	0.00		Agency records and/or PhilGEPS records

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: Philippine Ports Authority - PMO Mindoro
Date of Self Assessment: January 31, 2024

Name of Evaluator: Cristina E. Carvajal
Position: Head BAC Secretariat

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and Subindicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation)
Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information					
7.a	Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost	Fully Compliant	3.00		Identify specific procurement-related portion in the agency website and specific website links
7.b	Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB-prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website	Fully Compliant	3.00		Copy of PMR and received copy that it was submitted to GPPB
	Average II		2.67		
PILLAR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES					
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes					
8.a	Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	95.48%	3.00		APP (including Supplemental amendments, if any) and PMRs
8.b	Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding	n/a	n/a		APP(including Supplemental amendments, if any)and PMRs
8.c	Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe	Fully Compliant	3.00		Agency Procedures/Systems for the conduct of needs analysis or market research, monitoring of timely delivery of goods, works, or services Contracts with amendments and variations to order amount to 10% or less
Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes					
9.a	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods	n/a	n/a		PMRs
9.b	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects	n/a	n/a		PMRs
9.c	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services	n/a	n/a	No Consulting Services Procurement	PMRs
Indicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Participants					
10.a	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis	Fully Compliant	3.00		Samples of forms used to evaluating procurement performance on top of or incorporated within the regular assessment for Procurement Personnel
10.b	Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program	0.00%	0.00		Ask for copies of Office Orders, training modules, list of participants, schedules of actual training conducted
10.c	The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity	Compliant	3.00		Ask for copies of documentation of activities for bidders
Indicator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Management Records					
11.a	The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify actual procurement records and time it took to retrieve records (should be no more than two hours) Refer to Section 4.1 of User's Manual for list of procurement-related documents for record-keeping and maintenance.
11.b	Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify actual contract management records and time it took to retrieve records should be no more than two hours
Indicator 12. Contract Management Procedures					
12.a	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copies of written procedures for quality control, acceptance and inspection; CPES evaluation formsz
12.b	Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts	After 45 days	0.00		Ask Finance or Accounting Head of Agency for average period for the release of payments for procurement contracts
	Average III		2.33		

ANNEX A
GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT POLICY BOARD
Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator (APCPI) Self-Assessment Form

Name of Agency: Philippine Ports Authority - PMO Mindoro
 Date of Self Assessment: January 31, 2024


Name of Evaluator: Cristina E. Carvajal
 Position: Head BAC Secretariat

No.	Assessment Conditions	Agency Score	APCPI Rating*	Comments/Findings to the Indicators and SubIndicators	Supporting Information/Documentation (Not to be Included in the Evaluation)
PILLAR IV. INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY OF AGENCY PROCUREMENT SYSTEM					
Indicator 13. Observer Participation in Public Bidding					
13.a	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR	n/a	n/a		Verify copies of Invitation Letters to CSOs and professional associations and COA (List and average number of CSOs and PAs invited shall be noted.)
Indicator 14. Internal and External Audit of Procurement Activities					
14.a	Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs specialized procurement audits	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify copy of Order or show actual organizational chart showing IAU, audit reports, action plans and IAU recommendations
14.b	Audit Reports on procurement related transactions	Above 90-100% compliance	3.00		Verify COA Annual Audit Report on Action on Prior Year's Audit Recommendations
Indicator 15. Capacity to Handle Procurement Related Complaints					
15.a	The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements	Not Compliant	0.00		Verify copies of BAC resolutions on Motion for Reconsiderations, Protests and Complaints; Office Orders adopting mesures to address procurement-related complaints
Indicator 16. Anti-Corruption Programs Related to Procurement					
16.a	Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement	Fully Compliant	3.00		Verify documentation of anti-corruption program
			Average IV		
GRAND TOTAL (Avarege I + Average II + Average III + Average IV / 4)			2.13		

Summary of APCPI Scores by Pillar

APCPI Pillars	Ideal Rating	Agency Rating
I Legislative and Regulatory Framework	3.00	1.29
II Agency Insitutional Framework and Management Capacity	3.00	2.67
III Procurement Operations and Market Practices	3.00	2.33
IV Integrity and Transparency of Agency Procurement Systems	3.00	2.25
Total (Pillar I+Pillar II+Pillar III+ PillarIV)/4	3.00	2.13

Agency Rating



No.	Assessment Conditions	Poor/Not Compliant (0)	Acceptable (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Very Satisfactory/Compliant (3)
		0	1	2	3
PILLAR I. LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK					
Indicator 1. Competitive Bidding as Default Method of Procurement					
1	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Below 70.00%	Between 70.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Between 91.00-100%
2	Percentage of competitive bidding and limited source bidding contracts in terms of volume of total procurement	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00- 39.99%	Between 40.00-50.00%	Above 50.00%
Indicator 2. Limited Use of Alternative Methods of Procurement					
3	Percentage of shopping contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 7.00%	Between 6.00-7.00 %	Between 4.00-5.99 %	Below 4.00%
4	Percentage of negotiated contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 15.00%	Between 11.00 -15.00%	Between 6.00-10.99%	Below 6.00%
5	Percentage of direct contracting in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 4.00%	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Below 1.00%
6	Percentage of repeat order contracts in terms of amount of total procurement	Above 4.00%	Between 3.00-4.00%	Between 1.00-2.99%	Below 1.00%
7	Compliance with Repeat Order procedures	Not Compliant			Compliant
8	Compliance with Limited Source Bidding procedures	Not Compliant			Compliant
Indicator 3. Competitiveness of the Bidding Process					
9	Average number of entities who acquired bidding documents	Below 3.00	3.00-3.99	4.00-5.99	6.00 and above
10	Average number of bidders who submitted bids	Below 2.00	2.00-2.99	3.00-4.99	5.00 and above
11	Average number of bidders who passed eligibility stage	Below 1.00	1.00 – 1.99	2.00-2.99	3.00 and above
12	Sufficiency of period to prepare bids	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
13	Use of proper and effective procurement documentation and technical specifications/requirements	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
PILLAR II. AGENCY INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND MANAGEMENT CAPACITY					
Indicator 4. Presence of Procurement Organizations					
14	Creation of Bids and Awards Committee(s)	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
15	Presence of a BAC Secretariat or Procurement Unit	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 5. Procurement Planning and Implementation					
16	An approved APP that includes all types of procurement	Not Compliant			Compliant
17	Preparation of Annual Procurement Plan for Common-Use Supplies and Equipment (APP-CSE) and Procurement of Common-Use Supplies and Equipment from the Procurement Service	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
18	Existing Green Specifications for GPPB-identified non-CSE items are adopted	Not Compliant			Compliant
Indicator 6. Use of Government Electronic Procurement System					

No.	Assessment Conditions	Poor/Not Compliant (0)	Acceptable (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Very Satisfactory/Compliant (3)
		0	1	2	3
19	Percentage of bid opportunities posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 70.99%	Between 71.00-80.99%	Between 81.00-90.99%	Above 91.00%
20	Percentage of contract award information posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00- 50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%
21	Percentage of contract awards procured through alternative methods posted by the PhilGEPS-registered Agency	Below 20.00%	Between 20.00 - 50.99%	Between 51.00-80.00%	Above 80.00%
Indicator 7. System for Disseminating and Monitoring Procurement Information					
22	Presence of website that provides up-to-date procurement information easily accessible at no cost	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
23	Preparation of Procurement Monitoring Reports using the GPPB-prescribed format, submission to the GPPB, and posting in agency website	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
PILLAR III. PROCUREMENT OPERATIONS AND MARKET PRACTICES					
Indicator 8. Efficiency of Procurement Processes					
24	Percentage of total amount of contracts signed within the assessment year against total amount in the approved APPs	Below 40.00% or above 100.00%	Between 40.00- 60.99%	Between 61.00% -80.00%	Above 80.00%
25	Percentage of total number of contracts signed against total number of procurement projects done through competitive bidding	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00- 92.99%	Between 93.00-95.00%	Above 95.00%
26	Planned procurement activities achieved desired contract outcomes and objectives within the target/allotted timeframe	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 9. Compliance with Procurement Timeframes					
27	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure goods	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 96.00 to 99.99%	100%
28	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure infrastructure projects	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 96.00 to 99.99%	100%
29	Percentage of contracts awarded within prescribed period of action to procure consulting services	Below 90.00%	Between 90.00 to 95.99%	Between 96.00 to 99.99%	100%
Indicator 10. Capacity Building for Government Personnel and Private Sector Participants					
30	There is a system within the procuring entity to evaluate the performance of procurement personnel on a regular basis	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
31	Percentage of participation of procurement staff in procurement training and/or professionalization program	Less than 60.00% Trained	Between 60.00-75.99% Trained	Between 76-90% of staff trained	Between 91.00-100% Trained
32	The procuring entity has open dialogue with private sector and ensures access to the procurement opportunities of the procuring entity	Not Compliant			Compliant
Indicator 11. Management of Procurement and Contract Management Records					
33	The BAC Secretariat has a system for keeping and maintaining procurement records	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
34	Implementing Units has and is implementing a system for keeping and maintaining complete and easily retrievable contract management records	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant

No.	Assessment Conditions	Poor/Not Compliant (0)	Acceptable (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Very Satisfactory/Compliant (3)
		0	1	2	3
Indicator 12. Contract Management Procedures					
35	Agency has defined procedures or standards in such areas as quality control, acceptance and inspection, supervision of works and evaluation of contractors' performance	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
36	Timely Payment of Procurement Contracts	After 45 days	Between 38-45 days	Between 31-37 days	On or before 30 days
PILLAR IV. INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY OF AGENCY PROCUREMENT SYSTEM					
Indicator 13. Observer Participation in Public Bidding					
37	Observers are invited to attend stages of procurement as prescribed in the IRR	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 14. Internal and External Audit of Procurement Activities					
38	Creation and operation of Internal Audit Unit (IAU) that performs specialized procurement audits	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
39	Audit Reports on procurement related transactions	Below 60% compliance	Between 61-70.99% compliance	Between 71-89.99% compliance	Above 90-100% compliance
Indicator 15. Capacity to Handle Procurement Related Complaints					
40	The Procuring Entity has an efficient procurement complaints system and has the capacity to comply with procedural requirements	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant
Indicator 16. Anti-Corruption Programs Related to Procurement					
41	Agency has a specific anti-corruption program/s related to procurement	Not Compliant	Partially Compliant	Substantially Compliant	Fully Compliant